

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/895,560	RODEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wen-Tai Lin	2154	

All Participants:

(1) Wen-Tai Lin.

(2) Christian Nicholes.

Status of Application: ____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10-14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested that claims 10-14 were too broad while the rest of the claims were in condition for allowance. In response, Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims 10-14.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)